DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

| R | E | C | E | Į۷ | E | |
|-----|---|---|---|-----|---|--|
| , , | _ | V | ᆫ | 1 V | ь | |

JUN[1.6] 2003.

|) | , |
|--------|--|
|)) | FEDFRAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY |
|) | MM Docket No. 00-148 |
|) | RM-9939 |
|) | RM-10198 |
|) | |
|) | |
|) | |
|) | |
|) | |
|) | |
| |)))))))))) |

To: Chief, Audio Division

Media Bureau

PETITION FOR PARTIAL RECONSIDERATION AND REQUEST FOR EXPEDITED ACTION

Rawhide Radio, LLC, Capstar TX Limited Partnership, and Clear Channel Broadcasting Licenses, Inc. (collectively "Joint Petitioners"), by their counsel, pursuant to Section 1.429 of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.429, hereby petition for partial reconsideration of the *Report and Order* in the above-captioned proceeding, DA 03-1533 (rel. May 8, 2003). The *Report and Order* reviewed Joint Petitioners' Counterproposal and severed it into two parts. The Joint Petitioners ask the Commission to (i) reconsider that portion of the *Report and Order* which summarily dismissed the portion of their proposal to amend the FM Table of Allotments set forth in the footnote below (hereinafter "Proposal")^{1/2} and (ii) issue a notice of proposed rule making

The Joint Petitioners' Proposal consists of the following changes to the FM Table of Allotments: (1) reallot Channel 249C1 from McQueeney, Texas to Converse, Texas (KVCQ); (2) allot Channel 232A to Flatonia, Texas; (3) delete Channel 244C1 at Georgetown, Texas and allot Channel 243C2 to Lago Vista, Texas (KHFI); (4) substitute Channel 256A for Channel 243A at Ingram, Texas (vacant); (5) delete Channel 248C at Waco, Texas and allot Channel 247C1 to Lakeway, Texas (KWTX); (6) substitute Channel 297A for Channel 242A at Llano, Texas (KBAE); (7) substitute Channel 249A for Channel 297A at Nolanville, Texas (KLFX); and (8) substitute Channel 245C1 for Channel 247C at San Antonio, Texas (KAJA).

which includes the elements of the Proposal, together with conflicting FM rule making proposals which were filed with the Commission by other parties subsequent to the filing of the Counterproposal. Due to the excessive delay (two and one-half years) in taking the initial action on the Proposal, the Joint Petitioners request that the Commission take this action on an expedited basis. In support, the following is stated:

1. This proceeding initially involved a proposal to add an FM channel to Quanah, Texas. See Notice of Proposed Rule Making, 15 FCC Rcd 15809 (2002) ("NPRM"). The Joint Petitioners timely filed a Counterproposal on October 10, 2000 which involved various communities in Texas and Oklahoma. Two and one-half years later, the Commission issued the Report and Order, which considered the Proposal as being separate and distinct from other elements of the counterproposal. It denied the other elements of the counterproposal due to a short spacing between the proposed substitution of Channel 230C1 at Archer City, Texas, and the then-pending application for Station KICM, Krum, Texas. Report and Order at ¶¶ 4-5. It also denied the Proposal, but not because of any technical defect – rather, solely on the ground that it did not conflict with the proposed allotment to Quanah, Texas, as set forth in the NPRM.^{2/} Report and Order at ¶¶ 6-7.

The Commission also stated that it "no longer entertains alternative proposals set forth in counterproposals," citing Winslow, Camp Verde, Mayer and Sun City West, Arizona, 16 FCC Rcd 9551 (2001). However, this policy does not bar acceptance and consideration of the Joint Petitioners' Proposal for two reasons. First, this Proposal predates the stated change in policy. It was filed on October 10, 2000, at a time when the Commission routinely accepted and processed alternative proposals. The Commission did not change that policy until May, 2001. Winslow, supra. In doing so, it stated that the policy would become effective as to "an initial petition for rule making or in a counterproposal" upon publication in the Federal Register. Id. See 66 Fed. Reg. 29237 (May 30, 2001). It therefore has no applicability to the prior-filed instant Proposal. Second, the instant Proposal is not an "alternative proposal" within the scope of the Winslow policy. That policy was expressly designed to prevent alternatives in which the Commission was put to a choice as to which alternative to adopt, and consequently open itself to second-guessing on reconsideration. See Winslow, supra, 16 FCC Red at 9555 ("even a single optional or alternative proposal has required us to speculate on the proposal actually preferred by the proponent or what proposal would, in our view, have the greatest public interest benefit."). In this case, there was no choice. If the counterproposal as a whole were defective, then one or the other of the two severed portions would necessarily be defective as well. Thus, only one of them could be grantable, and the Commission was not required Cont'd. . . .

- 2. There is no dispute that the Proposal, standing alone, was facially acceptable. Accordingly, the Joint Petitioners submit that the Commission was *required* to consider the Proposal on its own merits and erred in dismissing it entirely. The Commission's decision to dismiss the Proposal without substantive consideration was contrary to principles of fundamental due process as embodied in the Administrative Procedure Act, inconsistent with a substantial body of case law (including a case decided as recently as May 30, 2003), and contrary to the public interest.
- 3. Under 5 U.S.C. § 553(e) of the APA, the Commission is required to give an interested person the right to petition for the issuance of a rule. If such a petition is denied, the agency must give prompt notice of its denial, and the reasons therefor. 5 U.S.C. § 555(e). It is not within the Commission's power to reject a petition for rule making outright unless it is patently defective. *National Org. for the Reform of Marijuana Laws v. Ingersoll*, 497 F.2d 654 (D.C. Cir. 1974); *See Municipal Light Boards v. FPC*, 450 F.2d 1341, 1345 (D.C. Cir. 1971), cert. denied, 405 U.S. 989 (1972) (rejection of a filing is appropriate if "the filing is so deficient on its face that the agency may properly return it to the filing party without even awaiting a responsive filing by any other party in interest"). The Joint Petitioners' Proposal was clearly *not* deficient on its face. Hence, the Commission was required to consider its merits.
- 4. Since the formation of the FM Table of Allotments, the Commission has entertained proposals for rule making to amend the FM Table of Allotments on a first-come, first-served basis. A *counterproposal* is simply a proposal for rule making that is mutually

^{. . .} Cont'd.

to choose. Since the Commission found that the Joint Petitioners' Proposal was not in conflict with the proposed allotment to Quanah, Texas, it correctly treated it as a separate Proposal, not as an alternative. Thus, the *Winslow* policy was not a bar to accepting the Proposal and issuing a notice of proposed rule making.

of BC Docket 80-90 to Increase the Availability of FM Broadcast Assignments, 5 FCC Red 931 (1990). It is the element of mutual exclusivity that converts a proposal into a counterproposal. See Pinewood, South Carolina, 5 FCC Red 7609 (1990) (a mutually exclusive rule making proposal submitted by the counterproposal deadline in a proceeding is considered in the context of that proceeding).

5. On the other hand, if mutual exclusivity is not present, an FM rule making counterproposal is just like any other proposal to amend the FM Table of Allotments, and must be accepted and considered like every other FM rule making proposal, based on the date it was filed with the Commission. The Commission has consistently followed this rule. Most recently, in Noblesville, Indianapolis, and Fishers, Indiana, DA 03-1118 (rel. May 30, 2003), the Commission rejected a proposal that was not mutually exclusive with the original petition, but held that the proposal would be considered in a separate proceeding. Id. at ¶¶ 1 and 3 n.4. This is virtually identical to the present situation. See also Saratoga, Wyoming et al., 15 FCC Rcd 10358, 10359 (2000) (counterproposal no longer in conflict with initial proposal treated as a new petition for rule making in a separate proceeding); Alva, Oklahoma, et al., 11 FCC Red 20915 (1996) (counterproposal not in conflict with initial proposal accepted as a new petition); Oakdale and Campti, Louisiana, 7 FCC Red 1033 n.5 (1992) (proposal not in conflict with initial proposal set forth in separate notice of proposed rule making); Kingston, Tennessee, 2 FCC Rcd 3589 n.1 (1987) (proposal not in conflict with pending proposal accepted in a proceeding); Cazenovia, New York, et al., 2 FCC Red 1169, 1171 n.2 (1987) (separate proceeding initiated to address non-conflicting counterproposal filed elsewhere). In the Report and Order, the Commission gave no reason why it treated the Joint Petitioners' Proposal differently than these

other proposals which involved counterproposals found not to be in conflict with initial rule making proposals.³⁷ By failing to issue a separate notice of proposed rule making for the Proposal, the Commission deviated from past practice and did not act in accordance with the public interest.

6. The Commission must accept the Joint Petitioners' Proposal as a new petition for rule making on a nunc pro tunc basis – that is, with a priority dating back to the date it was filed, October 10, 2000. It is not appropriate for the Commission to dismiss the Proposal after two and one-half years and invite the Joint Petitioners to refile it, particularly given the Commission's actions in the intervening years since it was filed. In theory, if the Commission had done everything correctly, the Proposal would have been afforded protection from untimely applications and petitions, and the spectrum would currently be clear for the refiling of the same proposal. However, the Commission did not do everything correctly. Not only did it take two and one-half years - an unconscionable length of time - to find that the Joint Petitioners' Proposal did not conflict with the original proposal in the proceeding, but in violation of its own procedural rules, the Commission also accepted eight FM rule making proposals and granted one FM application which conflict with the Joint Petitioners' earlier filed Proposal. See Exhibit A. As the attached channel studies demonstrate, the Joint Petitioners cannot refile the Proposal as a new proposal, because it would conflict with numerous proposals which the Commission crroneously accepted after the Joint Petitioners' Proposal was filed. See Exhibit B.

A different situation is presented when a proposal is not mutually exclusive but rather *contingent* upon a specific outcome in a pending proceeding. In such situations, the Commission may dismiss the contingent proposal and it can be refiled when the proceeding has been concluded. *See Eufaula, Wagoner, Warner, and Sand Springs, Oklahoma*, 12 FCC Red 3743 (1997).

7. It would be unfair to require the Joint Petitioners to refile now, and somehow protect the subsequently filed proposals and allotments identified in Exhibit A, given that the Joint Petitioners filed first, and that the subsequent proposals were accepted erroneously. At this point, the Commission must consider the Joint Petitioners' Proposal in a new proceeding, dating back to the initial filing date of October 10, 2000. The Commission may also consider the proposals set forth in Exhibit A and consolidate them into one proceeding with the Joint Petitioners' Proposal.^{4/}

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, the Commission should issue a new notice of proposed rule making soliciting comment on Joint Petitioners' Proposal as originally filed on October 10, 2000, and the proposals set forth in Exhibit A. In view of the unconscionable length of time that has passed since the Proposal was filed, the Commission should take this action on an expedited basis.

One of these proposals, the allotment of Channel 232A at Victoria, Texas, was advanced in a counterproposal in MM Docket No. 02-248. However, this proceeding is still undecided, and the Victoria proposal could be consolidated with the Joint Petitioners' Proposal.

The conflicting construction permit for Channel 255C1 at Dilley, Texas (Station KLMO-FM) is another matter. The permit (BPH-20010102AAC) was issued in error because it conflicted with the prior-filed proposal to substitute Channel 256A at Ingram, Texas. Fortunately, there is a simple solution to this problem. The construction permit in fact does protect Channel 256A at Ingram. The permit was issued pursuant to Section 73.215 with respect to Stations KAYG, Camp Wood, Texas: KBUC, Pleasanton, Texas: and KJFK, Lampasas, Texas, and in affording contour protection to those stations it also affords contour protection to the Ingram allotment. Should the Joint Petitioners' Proposal be granted, the Dilley construction permit, with Section 73.215 protection towards Ingram, would not be affected.

Respectfully submitted,

CAPSTAR TX LIMITED PARTNERSHIP CLEAR CHANNEL BROADCASTING LICENSES, INC.

By:

Gregory L. Marter Sin

Wiley Rein & Fielding LLP

1776 K Street, NW

Washington, DC 20006

(202) 719-7370

Their Counsel

RAWHIDE RADIO, LLC

Mark N. Lipp^{5/}

J. Thomas Nolan

Vinson & Elkins, LLP

1455 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20004

(202) 639-6500

Itș Co-Counsel

Laurence N. Cohn /)TN

Cohn and Marks

1920 N Street, N.W.

Suite 300

Washington, D.C. 20036-1622

(202) 452-4817

lts Co-Counsel

June 16, 2003

Please note the new address for counsel.

EXHIBIT A

| | Channel | Docket | Community | Petitioner | <u>Date</u> | Comments |
|----|---------|------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------|---|
| | | <u>No.</u> | | | <u>Filed</u> | |
| 1. | 255C1 | Unbuilt | Dilley, Texas | Dilley | 1/2/01 | Construction permit, File No. BPH-20010102AAO, |
| | | (CP) | | Broadcasters | | granted 4/17/02, short-spaced to Channel 256A at |
| | | KLMO- | | | | Ingram, Texas. Provides Section 73.215 contour |
| | | FM | | | | protection to proposed Ingram allotment with no |
| | | | | | | change in facilities. |
| 2. | 232A | 01-105 | Shiner, Texas | Stargazer | 4/6/01 | Conflicts with proposed allotment of Channel 232A |
| | | | , | Broadcasting, | | at Flatonia, Texas. |
| | | | | Inc. | | |
| 3. | 256A | ~ | Harper, Texas | Charles | 5/7/01 | Conflicts with proposed substitution of Channel 256A |
| | | <u> </u> | | Crawford | | for Channel 243A at Ingram, Texas. |
| 4. | 245C3 | 01-153 | Tilden, Texas | Charles | 5/18/01 | Conflicts with proposed substitution of Channel |
| | | | | Crawford | | 245C1 for Channel 247C at San Antonio, Texas. |
| 5. | 250A | 01-130 | Batesville, Texas | Charles | 5/21/01 | Conflicts with proposed reallotment of Channel |
| _ | A 10.01 | | | Crawford | 5/23/01 | 249C1 from McQueeney to Converse, Texas. |
| 6. | 249C3 | 01-133 | Mason, Texas | Charles | 5/25/01 | Conflicts with proposed reallotment of Channel |
| _ | 207. | | | Crawford | 7/20/01 | 249C1 from McQueeney to Converse, Texas. |
| 7. | 297A | 01-154 | Goldthwaite, | Charles | 5/29/01 | Conflicts with proposed substitution of Channel 297A |
| O | 242.4 | 01.100 | Texas | Crawford | C/15/01 | for Channel 242A at Llano, Texas. |
| 8. | 243A | 01-188 | Evant, Texas | Charles | 6/15/01 | Conflicts with proposed substitution of Channel |
| | | | | Crawford | | 243C2 at Lago Vista, Texas for Channel 244C1 at |
| 9. | 232A | 02.249 | Viete sie Tenes | New Ulm | 10/21/02 | Georgetown, Texas. |
| 9. | 232A | 02-248 | Victoria, Texas | | 10/21/02 | Conflicts with proposed allotment of Channel 232A at Flatonia, Texas. |
| | | | | Broadcasting Co. | | at Flatonia, Texas. |
| | | L | | | | <u> </u> |



FAX WAS * Pg 2/10 Ma+.25. 2008 1:48PM Reynolds Technical Associates No.0359 P. 2

Channel 247C1 Lakeway Texas (KWTX) Allocation Study

REFERENCE DISPLAY DATES 30 18 27 N CLASS = C1 DATA 04-24-03 Current Spacings 97 46 46 W SEARCH 05-25-03 ------ Channel 247 - 97.3 MHz -----

| Call | , | Channel | Location | | Dist | Azi | FCC | Margin |
|-----------------|----------|---------|-------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------|-------|---------|
| RADD | ADD | 247Ç1 | Lakeway | ТX | 0.00 | 0.0 | 245.0 | -245.00 |
| RDEL | DEL | 248C | Waco | \mathtt{TX} | 0.00 | 0.0 | 209.0 | -209.00 |
| KAJA | LIC | 247C | San Antonio | TX | 125.95 | 226.5 | 270.0 | -144.05 |
| RDEL | DEL | 247C | San Antonio | TX | 131.56 | 227.3 | 270.0 | -138.44 |
| KWTXFM | LIC | 248C | Waco | TX | 122.70 | 21.2 | 209.0 | -86.30 |
| KWTXFM | CP | 248C | Waco | TX | 122.73 | 21.3 | 209.0 | -86.27 |
| RDEL | DEL | 244C1 | Georgetown | TX | 2.63 | 308.6 | 82.0 | -79.37 |
| KHFIFM | LIC | 244C1 | Georgetown | $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{X}$ | 2.63 | 308.6 | 82.0 | -79.37 |
| RDEL | DEL | 244Cl | Georgetown | $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{X}$ | 19.20 | 328.5 | 82.0 | -62.80 |
| R AD D | ADD | 248C2 | Marlin | TX | 111.55 | 32.1 | 158.0 | -46.45 |
| RDEL | DEL | 248C2 | Waco | TX | 144.96 | 22.4 | 158.0 | -13.04 |
| RADD | ADD | 249A | Nolanville | TX | 89.23 | 12.2 | 75.0 | 14.23 |
| ALLO | RSV | 249C1 | Mcqueeney | TX | 105.99 | 173.9 | 82.0 | 23.99 |
| KVCQ.C | CP | 249C1 | Mcqueeney | ΥX | 107.38 | 173.1 | 82.0 | 25.38 |
| RADD | ADD | 249C1 | Converse | $\mathtt{X}\mathtt{T}$ | 119.75 | 214.7 | 82.0 | 37.75 |
| RDEL | DEL | 249C1 | Mcqueeney | TX | 119. 7 5 | 214.7 | 82.0 | 37.75 |
| \mathbf{RADD} | ADD | 249Cl | Converse | TX | 119.75 | 214.7 | 82.0 | 37.75 |
| RADD | ADD | 245C1 | San Antonio | TX | 131.56 | 227.3 | 82.0 | 49.56 |
| | | | | | | | | |

Channel 245C1 San Antonio Texas (KAJA) Allocation Study

REFERENCE DISPLAY DATES 29 30 01 N CLASS = C1DATA 04-24-03 98 46 41 W Current Spacings SEARCH 05-25-03

| | • | | _ | • | | | |
|-----------------|-----------|------------------|------------------------|---|-------|-------|---------|
| Call | Channel | Location | | Dist | Azi | FCC | Margin |
| RADD | ADD 245C1 | San Antonio | TX | 0.00 | 0.0 | 245.0 | -245.00 |
| RDEL | DEL 247C | San Antonio | ТX | 0.00 | 0.0 | 105.0 | -105.00 |
| KAJA | LIC 247C | San Antonio | TX | 5.88 | 63.8 | 105.0 | -99.12 |
| RADD | ADD 245C3 | Tilden | TX | 115.28 | 167.0 | 211.0 | -95.72 |
| RDEL | DEL 244C1 | Georgetown | TX | 131.18 | 45.6 | 177.0 | -45.82 |
| KHFIFM | LIC 244C1 | Georgetown | TX | 131.18 | 45.6 | 177.0 | -45.82 |
| RDEL | DEL 244C1 | Georgetown | TX | 136.54 | 38.8 | 177.0 | -40.46 |
| ALLO | 244 | Piedras Negras | CI | 190.58 | 242.9 | 209.0 | -18.42 |
| KIOXFM | LIC 245C1 | El Campo | $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{X}$ | 244.51 | 105.5 | 245.0 | -0.49 |
| KXYLFM | LIC 245C1 | Brownwood | ${f T}{f X}$ | 245.29 | 355.1 | 245.0 | 0.29 |
| ALLO | USE 245C1 | Brownwood | ТX | 245.29 | 355.1 | 245.0 | 0.29 |
| ALLO | VAC 243A | Ingram | TX | 77.53 | 325.5 | 75.0 | 2.53 |
| RDEL | DEL 243A | Ingram | ТX | 77.53 | 325.5 | 75.0 | 2.53 |
| KXYLFM | CP 245C1 | Brownwood | TX | 254.82 | 348.2 | 245.0 | 9.82 |
| KXTNFM | LIC 298C | San Antonio | ТX | 55.78 | 116.6 | 41.0 | 14.78 |
| \mathtt{RDEL} | DEL 248C | Waço | ТX | 131.56 | 46.8 | 105.0 | 26.56 |
| ALLO | 246 | Nuevo Laredo | AT | 226.44 | 200.9 | 195.0 | 31.44 |
| XHNLOF | OPE 246B | Nuevo Laredo | TA | 234.75 | 197.7 | 195.0 | 39.75 |
| ALLO | VAC 242A | Cotu l la | TX | 118.05 | 201.0 | 75.0 | 43.05 |
| RADD | ADD 247C1 | Lakeway | TX | 131.56 | 46.8 | 82.0 | 49.56 |
| RADD | ADD 243C2 | Lago Vista | TX | 136.54 | 38.8 | 79.0 | 57.54 |
| RADD | ADD 243C2 | Lago Vista | TX | 136.54 | 38.8 | 79.0 | 57.54 |
| KBAE | LIC 242A | Llano | TX | 133.02 | 8.5 | 75.0 | 58.02 |
| RDEL | DEL 242A | Llano | TX | 137.01 | 6.6 | 75.0 | 62.01 |
| ALLO | VAC 242A | Yorktown | TX | 139.47 | 111.1 | 75.0 | 64.47 |
| RDEL | DEL 242A | Yorktown | TX | 139.47 | 111.1 | 75.0 | 64.47 |
| RADD | ADD 242A | Shiner | TX | 146.30 | 91.0 | 75.0 | 71.30 |
| RADD | ADD 242A | Flatonia | ТX | 152.30 | 84.7 | 75.0 | 77.30 |
| RVAC | VAC 299A | Leakey | ΤX | 110.40 | 281.9 | 22.0 | 88.40 |

FAX WAS * Pg 4/10 Max.25. 2003 | 1:49PV | Reynolds Technical Associates

Channel 243C2 Lago Vista Texas (KHFI) Allocation Study

| REFERENCE | | DISPLAY DATES |
|------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| 30 27 18 N | CLASS = C2 | DATA 04-24-03 |
| 97 53 03 W | Current Spacings | SEARCH 05-25-03 |
| | Channel 243 - 96.5 MHz | |

| Call | Channel | Location | | Dist | Azi | FCC | Margin |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------|----------------|-------|-------|---------------|
| RADD | ADD 243C2 | Lago Vista | ТX | 0.00 | 0.0 | 190.0 | -190.00 |
| RADD | ADD 243C2 | Lago Vista | ТX | 0.00 | 0.0 | 190.0 | -190.00 |
| RDEL | DEL 244C1 | Georgetown | ТX | 0.00 | 0.0 | 158.0 | -158.00 |
| KHFIFM | LIC 244C1 | Georgetown | $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{X}$ | 16.76 | 151.5 | 158.0 | -141.24 |
| RDEL | DEL 244C1 | Georgetown | ТX | 16.76 | 151.5 | 158.0 | -141.24 |
| RADD | ADD 243A | Evant | ТX | 116.79 | 347.3 | 166.0 | -49.21 |
| KBAE | LIC 242A | Llano | TX | 70.73 | 291.6 | 106.0 | -35.27 |
| RDEL | DEL 242A | Llano | $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{T}$ | 76.07 | 293.7 | 106.0 | -29.93 |
| RDEL | DEL 243A | Ingram | TX | 136.65 | 252.3 | 166.0 | -29.35 |
| ALLO | VAC 243A | Ingram | $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{T}$ | 136.65 | 252.3 | 166.0 | -29.35 |
| KHMX | LIC 243C | Houston | XT | 248.90 | 112.6 | 249.0 | -0.10 |
| ALLO | VAC 240A | Burnet | ТX | 58.88 | 318.5 | 55.0 | 3.88 |
| RADD | ADD 242A | Flatonia | TX | 113.30 | 145.1 | 106.0 | 7.30 |
| RADD | ADD 242A | Shiner | XT | 124.32 | 151.5 | 106.0 | 18.32 |
| KGSR | LIC 296C2 | Bastrop | TX | 47.18 | 141.6 | 20.0 | 27.18 |
| RADD | ADD 240A | Giddings | ТX | 85.33 | 104.6 | 55.0 | 30.33 |
| KXXM | LIC 241C1 | San Antonio | TX | 116.09 | 218.4 | 79.0 | 37.09 |
| RDEL | DEL 242A | Yorktown | TX | 162.40 | 164.7 | 106.0 | 56.40 |
| ALLO | VAC 242A | Yorktown | ТX | 162.40 | 164.7 | 106.0 | 56.40 |
| RADD | ADD 245C1 | San Antonio | $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{X}$ | 136.54 | 219.3 | 79.0 | 57.54 |
| KLFX | LIC 297A | Nolanville | TX | 75.49 | 21.1 | 15.0 | 60.49 |
| RADD | ADD 297A | Llano | TX | 76.07 | 293.7 | | 61.07 |
| \mathtt{RDEL} | DEL 297A | Nolanville | TX | 76.55 | 22.1 | 15.0 | 61.5 5 |
| | APP 297A | Nolanville | TX | 76.55 | 22.1 | 15.0 | 61.55 |
| KSCS | LIC 242C | Fort Worth | TX | 252.00 | 19.9 | 188.0 | 64.00 |
| KLTG | LIC 243C1 | Corpus Christi | TX | 302.04 | 174.7 | 224.0 | 78.04 |
| RADD | ADD 241C2 | College Station | TX | 1 45.36 | 76.2 | 58.0 | 87.36 |
| | | | | | | | |

FAX_WAS * Pg 5/10 Wa--25- 2038 T:49PM Reynolds Technical Associates

Channel 297A Llano Texas (KBAE) Allocation Study

| REFERENCE | DISPLAY | Y DATES | | | |
|------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----|------|----------|
| 30 43 40 N | | CLASS = A | | DATA | 04-24-03 |
| 98 36 43 W | 98 36 43 W Current Spacings | | | | |
| | Chan | nel 297 - 107.3 MHz | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Call Cha | annel Location | Dist | Azi | FCC | Margin |
| | | | | | |

RADD ADD 297A Llano TX 0.00 0.0 115.0 -115.00 RADD ADD 297A Goldthwaite LIC 297A Nolanville KLFX KLFX.A APP 297A Nolanville DEL 297A Nolanville RDEL KXTNFM LIC 298C San Antonio KHLBFM LIC 295A Burnet RADD Junction ADD 297A KFANFM LIC 300C2 Johnson City KFANFM APP 300C2 Johnson City RADD ADD 296A Brady KGSR LIC 296C2 Bastrop

Channel 249A Nolanville Texas (KLFX) Allocation Study

| REFERE 31 05 97 34 | 38 N 51 W | - | Ci Channe | rrent Spa | A cings 7.7 MHz - | | DATA SEARCH | 05-25-03 |
|--------------------------|--------------|----------|--------------|-----------|-------------------------|-------|----------------|----------|
| Call | Cha | annel | Location | | Dist | Azi | FCC | Margin |
| KWTXFM | LIC 24 | 18C | Waco | TX | 37.34 | 43.5 | 165.0 | -127.66 |
| KWTXFM | CP 24 | 48C | Waco | TX | | 43.5 | | |
| RADD | ADD 24 | 49A | Nolanville | TX | 0.00 | 0.0 | 115.0 | -115.00 |
| RDEL | DEL 24 | 48C | Waco | TX | 89.23 | 192.3 | 165.0 | -75.77 |
| RADD | ADD 24 | 48C2 | Marlin | TX | 41.12 | 80.0 | 106.0 | -64.88 |
| RDEL | DEL 24 | 18C2 | Waco | TX | 59.32 | 38.0 | 106.0 | -46.68 |
| ALLO | RSV 24 | 19C1 | Mcqueeney | TX | 192.74 | 182.4 | 200.0 | -7.26 |
| KVCQ.C | CP 24 | 49C1 | Mcqueeney | TX | 193.88 | 181.8 | 200.0 | -6.12 |
| RDEL | DEL 24 | 19C1 | Mcqueeney | ТX | 205.04 | 205.2 | 200.0 | 5.04 |
| RADD | ADD 24 | 19C1 | Converse | TX | 205.04 | 205.2 | 200.0 | 5.04 |
| RADD | ADD 24 | 19C1 | Converse | TX | 205.04 | 205.2 | 200.0 | 5.04 |
| KVLZ | LIC 25 | 52A | Gatesville | TX | 41.39 | 343.7 | 31.0 | 10.39 |
| KASZ.A | APP 25 | 52A | Gatesville | TX | 41.39 | 343.7 | 31.0 | 10.39 |
| KBFB | LIC 25 | 50C | Dallas | ТX | 175.53 | 19.1 | 165.0 | 10.53 |
| KVETFM | LIC 25 | 51C1 | Austin | TX | 87.98 | 193.8 | 75.0 | 12.98 |
| RADD | ADD 24 | 17C1 | Lakeway | ΤX | 89.23 | 192.3 | 75.0 | 14.23 |
| RADD | ADD 24 | 19C3 | Mason | TX | 159.74 | 255.6 | 142.0 | 17.74 |

FAX_WAS * Pg 7/10 Vax.25. 2003 1:50PV Reynolds Technical Associates

Channel 249C1 Converse Texas (KVCQ)

| REFERENCE | | DISPLAY DATES |
|------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 29 25 07 N | CLASS = C1 | DATA 04-24-03 |
| 98 29 02 W | Current Spacings | SEARCH 05-25-03 |
| | - Channel 249 - 97.7 MHz | |

Allocation Study

| Call | | Channel | Location | | Dist | Azi | FCC | Margin |
|-----------------|-----|---------|-------------|------------------------|--------|-------|-------|---------|
| RADD | ADD | 249C1 | Converse | TX | 0.00 | 0.0 | 245.0 | -245.00 |
| RADD | ADD | 249C1 | Converse | TX | 0.00 | 0.0 | 245.0 | -245.00 |
| RDEL | DEL | 249C1 | Mcqueeney | ТX | 0.00 | 0.0 | 245.0 | -245.00 |
| ALLO | RSV | 249C1 | Mcqueeney | ТX | 79.95 | 94.8 | 245.0 | -165.05 |
| KVCQ.C | CP | 249C1 | Mcqueeney | TX | 81.78 | 95.5 | 245.0 | -163.22 |
| RDEL | DEL | 248C | Waco | TX | 119.75 | 34.3 | 209.0 | -89.25 |
| KVCQ | LIC | 249C3 | Mcqueeney | TX | 126.92 | 107.3 | 211.0 | -84.08 |
| KAJA | LIC | 247C | San Antonio | TX | 26.00 | 296.8 | 105.0 | -79.00 |
| \mathtt{RDEL} | DEL | 247C | San Antonio | ТX | 29.94 | 287.8 | 105.0 | -75.06 |
| RADD | ADD | 249C3 | Mason | TX | 160.55 | 334.9 | 211.0 | -50.45 |
| RADD | ADD | 250A | Batesville | TX | 109.41 | 246.7 | 133.0 | -23.59 |
| RADD | ADD | 250A | George West | TX | 134.86 | 166.5 | 133.0 | 1.86 |
| RADD | ADD | 249A | Nolanville | TX | 205,04 | 24.7 | 200.0 | 5.04 |
| KFTX | LIC | 248Cl | Kingsville | TX | 204.94 | 155.0 | 177.0 | 27.94 |
| ALLO | | 248 | San Carlos | CI | 238,39 | 260.1 | 209.0 | 29.39 |
| KWTXFM | LIC | 248C | Waco | TX | 240.78 | 27.5 | 209.0 | 31.78 |
| KWTXFM | CP | 248C | Waco | TX | 240.82 | 27.5 | 209.0 | 31.82 |
| RADD | ADD | 247Cl | Lakeway | TX | 119.75 | 34.3 | 82.0 | 37.75 |
| KVETFM | LIC | 251C1 | Austin | $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{X}$ | 120.10 | 33.1 | 82.0 | 38.10 |
| | | | | | | | | |

Channel 249C1 McQueeney Texas (KVCQ) Allocation Study

| 29 25 | EFERENCE 9 25 07 N | | | | | | DATA | Y DATES 04-24-03 05-25-03 |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| Call | | Channel | Location | | Dist | Azi | FCC | Margin |
| RADD RADD RDEL ALLO KVCQ.C RDEL KVCQ KAJA RDEL RADD RADD RADD RADD KFTX | ADD DEL RSV CP DEL LIC LIC DEL ADD ADD ADD ADD | 249C1 249C1 249C1 248C 249C3 247C 247C 249C3 250A 250A 249A 248C1 | Converse Converse Mcqueeney Mcqueeney Mcqueeney Waco Mcqueeney San Antonio San Antonio Mason Batesville George West Nolanville Kingsville | TX T | 0.00 0.00 79.95 81.78 119.75 126.92 26.00 29.94 160.55 109.41 134.86 205.04 204.94 | 0.0 0.0 94.8 95.5 34.3 107.3 296.8 287.8 334.9 246.7 166.5 24.7 155.0 | 245.0 245.0 245.0 245.0 245.0 209.0 211.0 105.0 211.0 133.0 133.0 200.0 177.0 | -79.00 -75.06 -50.45 -23.59 1.86 5.04 27.94 |
| ALLO KWTXFM KWTXFM RADD KVETFM | CP ADD | 248 248C 248C 247C1 251C1 | San Carlos Waco Waco Lakeway Austin | CI TX TX TX TX | 238.39 240.78 240.82 119.75 120.10 | 260.1 27.5 27.5 34.3 33.1 | 209.0 209.0 209.0 82.0 82.0 | 29.39 31.78 31.82 37.75 38.10 |

No.0359 P. 9

FAX_WAS * Pg 9/10 Ma+.25. 2003 ::50PM Reynolds Technical Associates

Channel 256A Ingram Texas (Vacant Channel 243A) Allocation Study

| REFERENCE | | DISPLAY DATES |
|------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| 30 04 30 N | CLASS = A | DATA 04-24-03 |
| 99 14 06 W | Current Spacings | SEARCH 05-25-03 |
| | Channel 256 - 99.1 MHz | |

| Call | Chann | el Location | | Dist | Azi | FCC | Margin |
|--------|----------|-------------|----|--------|-------|-------|---------|
| RADD | ADD 256A | Ingram | TX | 0.00 | 0.0 | 115.0 | -115.00 |
| RADD | ADD 256A | Harper | TX | 23.95 | 349.3 | 115.0 | -91.05 |
| KAYG | LIC 256A | Camp Wood | XT | 85.32 | 242.2 | 115.0 | -29.68 |
| KLMOFM | CP 255C | 1 Dilley | TX | 125.57 | 182.0 | 133.0 | -7.43 |
| RADD | ADD 257A | Leakey | ТX | 72.07 | 239.5 | 72.0 | 0.07 |
| KBBT | LIC 253C | 1 Schertz | ΤX | 78.61 | 141.0 | 75.0 | 3.61 |
| ALLO | USE 253C | 1 Schertz | TX | 78.61 | 141.0 | 75.0 | 3.61 |
| KHHL | LIC 255C | 1 Leander | TX | 139.75 | 58.5 | 133.0 | 6.75 |
| KHHL.C | CP 255C | 2 Leander | TX | 139.05 | 75.0 | 106.0 | 33.05 |
| KLMOFM | LIC 255C | 1 Dilley | TX | 167.19 | 180.5 | 133.0 | 34.19 |
| KISSFM | LIC 258C | San Antonio | TX | 129.21 | 133.3 | 95.0 | 34.21 |
| RADD | ADD 259A | Mason | TX | 74.83 | 0.1 | 31.0 | 43.83 |

Mas.25, 2003 1:5^{-p}M Reyno ds Technical Associates

Channel 232A Flatonia Texas (Proposed Allotment) Allocation Study

| REFERENCE | | DISPLAY DATES |
|------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| 29 37 00 N | CLASS = A | DATA 04-24-03 |
| 97 12 44 W | Current Spacings | SEARCH 05-25-03 |
| | Channel 232 - 94 3 MHz | |

| Call | Channel | Location | | Dist | Az i | FCC | Margin |
|--------|-----------|---------------|------------------------|----------------|-------------|-------|---------|
| RADD | ADD 232A | Flatonia | TX | 0.00 | 0.0 | 115.0 | -115.00 |
| RDEL | DEL 232A | Flatonia | TX | 0.00 | 0.0 | 115.0 | -115.00 |
| RDEL | DEL 232A | Shiner | TX | 20.37 | 182.7 | 115.0 | -94.63 |
| RADD | ADD 232A | Shiner | $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{X}$ | 20.37 | 182.7 | 115.0 | -94.63 |
| RADD | ADD 232A | Victoria | ТX | 96,65 | 166.9 | 115.0 | -18.35 |
| KTBZFM | LIC 233C | Houston | TX | 164.97 | 91.1 | 165.0 | -0.03 |
| KLBJFM | LIC 229C | Austin | TX | 95.10 | 324.2 | 95.0 | 0.10 |
| KAMX | LIC 234C | Luling | TX | 96.66 | 324.4 | 95.0 | 1.66 |
| KULF | LIC 231C3 | Brenham | TX | 96.42 | 52.5 | 89.0 | 7.42 |
| ALLO | VAC 231C2 | Brenham | TX | 120.45 | 44.1 | 106.0 | 14.45 |
| KULF.A | APP 231C2 | Brenham | TX | 120.45 | 44.1 | 106.0 | 14.45 |
| KAJI | LIC 231C3 | Point Comfort | TX | 105.86 | 152.6 | 89.0 | 16.86 |
| KAJI.C | CP 231C3 | Point Comfort | ТX | 109.06 | 150.3 | 89.0 | 20.06 |
| KEMA | LIC 233C2 | Three Rivers | $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{X}$ | 128.13 | 219.2 | 106.0 | 22.13 |
| KHTZ | LIC 232A | Cameron | ХT | 138.76 | 7.2 | 115.0 | 23.76 |
| KLEYFM | LIC 231C2 | Floresville | ТX | 135.11 | 249.4 | 106.0 | 29.11 |
| KEMA.A | APP 233C2 | Three Rivers | ТX | 135.1 7 | 224.1 | 106.0 | 29.17 |
| KEMA.A | APP 233C2 | Three Rivers | ТX | 135.17 | 224.1 | 106.0 | 29.17 |
| KRVL | LIC 232C2 | Kerrville | TX | 198.44 | 291.4 | 166.0 | 32.44 |
| KBUK | LIC 285A | La Grange | TX | 44.60 | 48.4 | 10.0 | 34.60 |
| RADD | ADD 235C2 | Ganado | TX | 91.22 | 150.4 | 55.0 | 36.22 |
| RDEL | DEL 231C3 | Point Comfort | TX | 131.82 | 145.2 | 89.0 | 42.82 |



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Lisa Balzer, a secretary in the law firm of Vinson & Elkins, do hereby certify that on this 16th day of June, 2003, I caused copies of the foregoing "Petition for Reconsideration" to be mailed, first class postage prepaid, or hand delivered, addressed to the following persons:

*Robert Hayne, Esq.
Federal Communications Commission
Media Bureau
Audio Division
445 12th Street, S.W.
Room 3-A262
Washington, D.C. 20554

Matthew L. Leibowitz, Esq.
Joseph A. Belisle, Esq.
Leibowitz & Associates, P.A.
One Southeast Third Avenue
Suite 1450
Miami, FL 33131-1715
(Counsel to Next Media Licensing, Inc.)

Maurice Salsa 5615 Evergreen Valley Drive Kingwood, TX 77345

Dan J. Alpert, Esq. Law Office of Dan J. Alpert 2120 North 21st Road Suite 400 Arlington, VA 22201 (Counsel to M&M Broadcasters, Ltd.)

Gene A. Bechtel, Esq.
Law Office of Gene Bechtel, P.C.
1050 17th Street, N.W.
Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20036
(Counsel to Elgin FM Limited Partnership and
Charles Crawford)

Robert Lewis Thompson, Esq. Thiemann, Aitken & Vohra, L.L.C. 908 King Street, Suite 300 Alexandria, VA 22314

(Counsel to AM & PM Broadcasting, L.L.C.)

Jeffrey D. Southmayd, Esq.
Southmayd & Miller
1220 19th Street, N.W.
Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20036
(Counsel to The Sister Sherry Lynn Foundation, Inc.)

Texas Grace Communications, Inc. c/o Dave Garey P.O. Box 8481 Gulfport, Mississippi

Arthur V. Belendiuk, Esq. Smithwick & Belendiuk, P.C. 5028 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W. Suite 301 Washington, D.C. 20016 (Counsel to Dilley Broadcasters)

Stargazer Broadcasting, Inc. c/o David P. Garland 1110 Hackney Houston, TX 77023

BK Radio c/o Bryan King 1809 Lightsey Road Austin, TX 78704

Katherine Pyeatt 6655 Aintree Circle Dallas, TX 75214

Lisa M. Balzer